IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 15195 of 2000

Between:

B. Chennakesava Rao S/o B. Kesavaiah
Residing at Kandukur Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of A.P. Rep. by its Secretary, Legal Affairs,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector,
Ongole, Prakasam District.
3 The District Judge,
Ongole, Prakasam District.
4 The Senior Civil Judge, (Sub Judge)
Kandukur, Prakasam District.
5 A.V.N.Ramesh Son of Not Known To The Petitioner
Kandukur, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or a Writ particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring G.O.Rt.No.588 Government of A.P. (L) Department, dated 02.05.2000 issued by the 1st Respondent as arbitrary, illegal and unsustainable and further to direct the respondents 2 and 3 to submit a fresh panel by including the name of the Writ Petitioner for consideration by the 1st Respondent for appointment of Assistant Government Pleader for the Courts of Senior Civil Judge, and Junior Civil Judge, Kandukur, Prakasam District and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: KUM.B.G.UMA DEVI (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos 1 to 4: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.5 : Mr.M.s.N.Prasad

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

None appeared for petitioner, nor any representation made on his behalf. Learned Government Pleader for Home submits that the present writ petition was filed challenging G.O.Rt No. 588 dated 2-5-2000 appointing 5th respondent as Assistant Government Pleader for Senior Civil Judge's and Junior Civil Judge's Courts, Kandakur, Prakasam district and that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

30-6-2005

TVK/GRK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Secretary, Government of A.P., Legal Affairs,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector,
Ongole, Prakasam District.
3 The District Judge,
Ongole, Prakasam District.
4 The Senior Civil Judge, (Sub Judge)

Kandukur, Prakasam District.

5 A.V.N.Ramesh Son of Not Known To The Petitioner
Kandukur, Prakasam District.

6) 2 CD copies

7) 2 CCs Government Pleader for Home , High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**RAGHU**}*